

TRUE EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE ORDINARY BOARD MEETING OF THE SECUNDERABAD CANTONMENT BOARD HELD AT THE OFFICE OF THE CANTONMENT BOARD, SECUNDERABAD ON THURSDAY THE 19TH JULY 2012.

The following were present :-

- | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| 1) | Maj Gen.C.A. Krishnan | - | President Cantonment Board |
| 2) | Shri. S. Balakrishna IDES | — | Member Secretary |
| 3) | Smt. BanukaNaramada | - | Vice President Cantt., Board |
| 4) | Brig. P K Lahree | - | Health Office & Ex-Officio |
| 5) | Shri K. Madan Mohan Rao | - | District Revenue Officer |
| 6) | Lt. Col KTDSBedi, | — | GE(S) & Ex-Officio |
| 7) | Col Yogander Singh | — | Nominated Member |
| 8) | Col. Ranjeet Singh | — | Nominated Member |
| 9) | Col A K Chaturvedi | — | Nominated Member |
| 10) | Smt J. Vidyavathi | - | Elected Member |
| 11) | Shri. S.Keshava Reddy | - | Elected Member |
| 12) | Shri J. Pratap | - | Elected Member |
| 13) | Shri. P.VenkatRao | - | Elected Member |
| 14) | Smt. M. Anuradha | - | Elected Member |
| 15) | Shri. P.Shyam Kumar | - | Elected Member |
| 16) | Shri. V .Jai Prakash | — | Elected Member |

Shri .Sarvey Sathyanarayana, Hon'ble Member of Parliament (LokSabha and Dr.P.ShankerRao, Hon'ble MLA, Secunderabad Cantonment (SC) Assembly Constituency have not attended the Meeting .

Formatted: Line spacing: Double

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt

At the commencement of the Board meeting the President Cantonment Board asked the CEO to give specific clarification on the following issues :-

(a) Toll Tax/Octroi Contract 2012-13.

(ii) Have the hoardings been removed? If not, the reasons for the same.

1, TOLL TAX / OCTROI CONTRACT

[a] Shri. Keshava Reddy and Smt. Banuka Narmada also made a representation & alleged that the present contractor Shri S. Raghava Reddy is a defaulter of property tax for his property held in Cantonment Area and [b] due to undue favour the Contractor was granted extension of 7 days' time for submission of Bank Guarantee; so that contractor waseould able to remit all amounts and thereafter the Board awarded the contract which is illegal.

CEO confirmed to the members that Responded that:-

[a] There are no dues / out standings against Shri S. Raghava Reddy as on date of issue the tender schedule, hence he cannot be called a defaulter.

[b] The office has not done any favour to the contractor for remitting the Bank Guarantee and further stated that extension was given for Bank Guarantee in the year 2010-2011 and 2011 - 2012 also by the CEO under the powers bestowed on him under the Cantt Act 2006 as a routine. In the

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Normal, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Left

Formatted: Font: 13 pt, Bold, Underline

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

last year, even after being given extension the then contractor Shri. Raju had not paid the Bank Guarantee for a last three month period and whereas in the current year the extra time was given was only 7 days, compared to the more than 20 days given last year. Moreover, and only after receipt of amount including Bank Guarantees, the contract was given.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Further President Cantonment Board asked Shri. Keshava Reddy & Smt. Banuka Narmada, to submit an application in case there are if there are any technical / procedural faults as per them in regards to awarding the contract and asked Col-C Ranjeet Singh, Col. Q to seek clarification again from the legal advisor within two weeks about the extra time of 07 days' for submission of Bank Guarantee and clarify if such powers are bestowed upon the CEO by law. †The same will be informed to the members in the next meeting.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

2. REMOVAL OF HOARDINGS BY M/S STAN POWER AND VACATION OF ROOM BY M/S STAN POWER.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Line spacing: 1.5 lines

The President sought clarification from the CEO regarding status of M/S Stan Power.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Shri.Keshava Reddy and Smt. Banuka Narmada also raised a point that the M/S Stan Power should shall not be allowed to continue.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

The CEO confirmed to informed the Board that there are no dues from StanPower however, there is a Status Quo Order from the Hon'ble Court and, also stated that we should not interfere with the hoardings of the company till the status quo is vacated.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

President Cantonment Board queried that whether any additional hoardings have been are erected after the status quo order was issued by the Hon'ble Court and CEO informed that the M/s. Stan Power has not erected any additional hoarding. Shri.Keshava Reddy stated that when the status quo order in place then is even advertisement displays must not be to changed,

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

but M/s Stan Power are doing so. The Board Aruled that M/s Stan Power must not be allowed to change and put up new hoardings during status quo pd, unless a legal opinion, on such an action being in order, is obtained.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

As regards to removal of vehicles, scrap from the room allotted in the past by the Board to M/s. Stan Power, the CEO informed that M/s. Stan power has removed all the material from the room and the said room has at present been converted into the Circle Office of Secunderabad Cantonment Board.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

The scrap left over is not serviceable which mainly are from the traffic signals which are the property of Secunderabad Cantonment.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

As regards the vehicles left behind by M/s Stan Power, the Sanitary Superintendent stated that the vehicle has been is-left over near the room which earlier was given to belongs to M/s. Stan Power. The vehicle was kept kept earlier when the space was allotted 4 years back to the company. The board decided that a compliant may be lodged in the Police station for removal of the vehicle. The CEO said that he will follow the court order in toto and consult the Cantonment Legal Advisor also on the matter including the matter of changing hoardings during status quo.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

As regards to going through the proceedings of the last Board meeting the President Cantonment Board suggested to the CEO to contact Col Chaturvedi, Commanding Officer, Station Work Shop for advise regarding to follow the procedure for auctioning of for auctioning of vehicles which are and beyond economical repairs of the abonded vehicles.

Formatted: Line spacing: single

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

The members also raised the point about giving co giving connections from 32 bore wells duing in the cantonment. The CEO assured the work will be done one ward at at a time and in right earnest to complete the work at the earliest. The PCB also brought out here the issue

Formatted: Font: 14 pt

about dues of the Cantonment towards HMWS&SB and informed the board the at case was taken up with the State Government authorities toe get the service charges waived.

CATEGORY I (GIFTED)

[1] To consider the building notices received under section 235(1) of the Cantonment Act, 2006 from the following applicants for erection of Building on Plot/Survey Numbers in the colonies mentioned against each.

The building plans are according to building byelaws and FSI restrictions. The site in each case is situated outside the notified civil area classified as B-2 State Govt. as per the GLR maintained by the DEO, AP Circle, Secunderabad.

The layout of these colonies was sanctioned after 1974 and the societies handed over 40% open area for civic and other amenities to the Cantonment Board by a way of Registered gift deed.

As per the decision of the Board in its meeting held on 04th November 1997, NOC from Airport Authority of India has not been insisted in such cases where construction of only Ground Floor is involved.

SNo .	Name (Smt/Shri)	Plot No. & Location	Floor	Plot area [in Sq.yds]	Name of the AE & AEE who scrutinized the plan (Shri)
1	K. Mrutyamjaya Prasad	Plot No 254, SY.No 60 & 61 Vasavi CHS, Kakaguda Village GLR Sy.No 444	FF & SF	133.61	Shri B Balakrishna / Shri K M Devaraj
2	G.Sampath Lal Jain & Others	Plot No 13, Sy.No 49 & 50 Jyothi CHS, Radhika Nagar. West	GF, FF & SF	266.66	Shri B Balakrishna / Shri K M Devaraj

		Marredpally Village			
3	A. Ravishanker & Others	Plot No 4, Sy.No 3 to 7/Part Shantideep CHS, Kakgauda	GF, FF & SF	300.00	Shri B Balakrishna / Shri K M Devaraj
4	Ramesh Yelamanchali	Plot No 16, Sy.No 69/2P,71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	252.50	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
5	G. Venkata Trinadha Vittal & G Venkata Lakshmi Indira	Plot No 39, Sy.No 69/2P,71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	250.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
6	B Kusuma Rao & 2 Others	P No 41, Sy.No 69/2P,71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	250.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
7	B Rukmini Rao & 2 Others	P No 18, Sy.No 69/2P,71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	252.50	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
8	Dr, B V Sri Krishna & Dr. (Smt) Susheela Sri Krishna	P No 42, Sy.No 69/2P, 71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	250.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
9	P Narsimha	P No 17, Sy.No 69/2P,71P,	GF, FF & SF	252.50	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj

		72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry			
10	Pekety Krishna Mohan Reddy & Pekety Santhi	P No 36, Sy.No 69/2P,71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	252.08	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
11	B Surrender	P No 19, Sy.No 69/2P,71P, 72, 72. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	252.50	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
12	R. Nageshwar Rao [HUF] Represented by its Karta	P No 10, Sy.No 69/2P, 71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	277.77	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
13	Belpu Satyabhama Rao & Belpu Janardhan Rao	P No 14, Sy.No 69/2P, 71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	277.77	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
14	B. Narender Rao & B Renuka Rao	P No 40, Sy.No 69/2P, 71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	250.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
15	Kondrella Rakesh Rao	P No 37, Sy.No 69/2P,71P, 72, 73. 75 &76, GLR Sy.No 352/p Trimulgherry	GF, FF & SF	430.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj

16	Medipally Sudheer	P No 5 Sy.No 63, GLR 352/P Duragamatha House Building Consturction CHS. [Tirumala Enclave], Trimulgherry Villag3e	Revised FF & Propos ed SF	257.55	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
17	B V Chaturvedi	P No 8/1, GLR Sy. No 342, 343 and 364 Teachers CHS [Teachers Colony], Adhyapak Nagar, Gunrock Village	GF, FF & SF	158.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
18	Bantwal Vinaya Prabhu	Plot No 153, Sy.No 74, 100/1, 100/2, 100/3, GLR Sy.No 352/p, Durgamatha CHS, Trimulgherry Village	Extensi on to First Floor and Second	300.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
19	T Lalitha	P No 5, Sy.No 193/Part. Asha CHS. Ramakrishna Puram, Secunderabad	FF & SF	324.00	Shri Mohd Iqbal Ahmd Shri K M Devaraj
20	K Vidyavathy	Plot No 27, Sy.No 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 11B, & 17B Soujanya CHS Bowenpally Secundreabad	GF, FF & SF	460.00	Shri M G K Das Shri K V Ramana Rao

		Cantt			
21	Arun Kumar Tulsyan & Manju Tulsyam	P No 34/1, Sy.No 143, 145 & 146, Sri Malani CHS Park View Enclave	GF, FF & SF	200.00	M Phani Kumar K V Ramana Rao
22	Y Aravind Reddy & Y Devender Reddy	Plot No 4 Layout in Sy.No 129/1/Part Thokatta Village Bowepally	GF, FF & SF	170.00	M Phani Kumar K V Ramana Rao
23	Y Aravind Reddy & Y Devender Reddy	Plot No5 Layout in Sy.No 129/1/Part Thokatta Village Bowepally	GF, FF & SF	243.00	M Phani Kumar K V Ramana Rao
24	Meganti Prabhu Kiran	Plot No 52, GLR Sy.No 625, Sri Vigneshwara CHS Kiran Enclave, Tarbund	GF, FF & SF	285.53	M Phani Kumar K V Ramana Rao

Resolution : Considered and resolved to approve all the building applications from SI.No. 1 to 24 under section 235 of the Cantonments Act, 2006 subject to condition that the No Objection Certificates issued by the Airport Authority of India be checked / verified properly with original certificates to prevent bogus certificates if any.

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

The sanction for erection of the building shall be available for two years from the date on which it is given. If building so sanctioned is not begun within the period, the sanction shall lapse. The date of commencement shall be reported / intimated to the Board and completion certificate shall be obtained.

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

The erection as per plan shall be completed within the period of one year from the date of its commencement, but not later than 2 years from the

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

date of issue of sanction. The plan should be adhered to absolutely in every respect. The sanction is a Municipal sanction only and is without prejudice to anyone else's right on or to the land.

The date of completion shall be reported as required under section 242 of the Cantonments Act 2006.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

OLD HOUSE

[2] To consider the building notices received under Section 235 of Cantonment Act 2006 submitted by the following applicants for re-erection of Building on House No's mentioned against each. Wherever building applications are submitted under Section 179 of Cantonment Act 1924, they are deemed to give under section 235 of Cantonment Act 2006.

The building plans have been scrutinized by the Asst. Engineer and Asst. Executive Engineer of the concerned and reported that they are according to byelaws and FSI. The site in this case is situated outside the notified civil area classified as B-2 private land.

The applicants have submitted Indemnity Bond and Affidavit stating that they do not possess any other vacant land within the ceiling limit.

SNo .	Name (Smt/Shri)	Plot No. & Location	Floor	Plot area [in Sq.yds]	Name of the AE & AEE who scrutinized the plan (Shri)
1.	G. Kamalakara Reddy & Others	H No 3-43-146, Sy.No 17, 19 & 20 to 23, Behind Krishna Puri Colony West Marredpally GLR Sy.No 474	GF, FF, SF	408	Shri M Ramulu / Shri K V Ramana Rao

Resolution : Considered and resolved to approve the building application under section 235 of the Cantonments Act, 2006 subject to condition that that the No Objection Certificates issued by the Airport Authority of India be

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

checked / verified properly with original certificates to prevent bogus certificates if any.

The sanction for erection of the building shall be available for two years from the date on which it is given. If building so sanctioned is not begun within the period, the sanction shall lapse. The date of commencement shall be reported / intimated to the Board and completion certificate shall be obtained.

The erection as per plan shall be completed within the period of one year from the date of its commencement, but not later than 2 years from the date of issue of sanction. The plan should be adhered to absolutely in every respect. The sanction is a Municipal sanction only and is without prejudice to anyone else's right on or to the land.

The date of completion shall be reported as required under section 242 of the Cantonments Act 2006.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.06", First line: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.06", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li

NOTICES FOR UNAUTHORISED CONSTRUCTIONS

[3] To consider issue of notices Under Section 248 of the Cantonments Act 2006 to the following for carrying out unauthorized constructions.

SNo.	Name (Shri/Smt)	Location of the building	Details of Unauthorized construction
01.	Shri. T. Jagan Mohan Rao	Plot No.39, Sy.No. 42 to 45, Ravi CHS (Ganesh Nagar), West Marredpally, Secunderabad	As recorded in Office Note
02.	Smt. Bina Kulkarni	Plot No. 49, Sy.No. 27,28 & 29, Ishaq Colony, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
03.	Shri. Madishetty Babu Rao & Smt. Madishetty Sumarani	Plot No. 27, Sy.No. 452/A, Sri Tirumala CHS Ltd., Kakaguda Village, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
04.	Shri. Gubba Kiran	Plot No. 25, Sy.No. 30, The	As recorded in Office

	Kumar	Secunderabad Postal Employees CHS Ltd., P & T Colony, Trimulgherry Village, Secunderabad.	Note
05.	Shri. P. Ashok Kumar Smt. M.D. Naga Rani	Plot No. 12, Sy.No. 8,9,11,12 & 13, Mahalaxmi Narasimha Swamy CHS Ltd., Trimulgherry Village, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
06.	Shri. Narender Kumar Agarwal Smt. Sarala Agarwal.	Plot No. 52, Sy.No. 10/1, Sitharampur Village, Bowenpally, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
07.	Smt. K. Kedareshwari W/o K. Vishnuchary	House No. 1-27-148, Sitharampur Village, Opp. To Mallareddy Function Hall, Bowenpally, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
08.	Shri. CH. V.P. Uma Shankar & Shri. J. Raja	Plot No. 3, Sy.No. 21, Vasavi CHS Ltd., Vasavi Nagar Colony, Kakaguda Village, Picket, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
09.	Shri. Gaddam Laxmaiah, Smt. K. Sheetal & Shri. K. Ravinder	Plot Nos. 22,23 & 24, Sy.No. 1,2,3 & 4, G.L. Yadav Nagar, Seetharampur Village, Bowenpally, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
10.	Shri. N.S.Ganesh	H.No. 1-13-85, Balamrai, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
11.	Shri. K.V. Bashyam	Plot No. 19, Sy.No. 153, GLR Sy.No. 231, Lokayata CHS Ltd., (Saraswathi Nagar Colony), Lothukunta Village, Bolarum Road, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
12.	Smt. E. Swaroopa	Plot No. 19, Sy.No. 128, 130 & 131, GLR Sy.No. 231, The Teachers	As recorded in Office Note

		CHS Ltd., Alwal Village, Mandabad, Secunderabad.	
13.	Smt. K. Vijaya Kumari	Plot No. 15, Rasoolpura, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
14.	Shri. S. Bajrang	H.No. 3-6-11 & 12, Boosareddyguda, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
15.	Shri. J. Ram Kumar & Smt. R. Prem Lata	Plot No. 2, Sy.No. 63/Part, Tirumala Enclave, Sri Durgamatha House Building Construction CHS Ltd., Trimulgherry, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
16.	Shri. P. Sudhakar Reddy	Plot No. 138, Sy.No. 342, 343 & 364, Adhyapak Nagar, Teachers Colony, Gunrock, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
17.	Shri. Devidas Dunakhe	Plot No. 67, Sy.No. 30, Postal Employees Co-op. Employees Society, Trimulgherry, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
18.	Shri. A. Laxmana Sharma	Plot No. 30, Vasavi CHS, Kakaguda Village, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
19.	Shri. J.Srinivasulu	Plot No. 17, Sy.Nos. 46, 48, 52/1, 54/1, 55/1 & 59/1, Railway Employees Co.op. House Building Society Ltd. Indrapuri Railway Colony, West Marredpally, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
20.	Shri. Alladi Ashwin Kumar	Plot No. 265, Sy.Nos. 60,61 & 62/1, Vasavi Nagar, Kakaguda, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note

21.	Shri. R. Santosh Kumar	H.No. 3-35-527, GLR Sy.No. 469, Valmiki Nagar, Entrenchment Road, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
22.	Smt. C. Swaroopa	Plot No. 28/B, Sy.Nos. 25,28, 29, 30/1 & 31, Laxminagar CHS, Picket, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
23.	Shri. G. Krishna Reddy & Others	Plot Nos. 5 & 6, Sy.No. 149, The Lokayata CHS Ltd., (Saraswathi Nagar Colony), Lothukunta Village, Alwal, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
24.	Smt G Padma & 6 others	Plot No 6/1, Sy.No 15, Brooke Bond Colony. Kakguda Village, Karkhana, Secunderabad.	As recorded in Office Note
25.	Shri Raghu Charan	Open Plot opposite to Plot no 54 & 29, Telecom Employees CHs, Sancharpuri, Phase – 1, Chinna Thokatta, Bowenpally	As recorded in Office note
26.	Siddamsetty Santosh	Plot No 68, Chandragiri CHS Phase I, Trimulgherry Village	As recorded in Office Note

The relevant file is placed on the table.

A. Resolution : Considered and approved. The Board resolved to issue notice under section 248 of the Cantonments Act 2006. In the event of non-compliance with the notice to remove unauthorized construction, further notice under section 320 may be issued to take further necessary action in all the cases including those cases where notice under section 248 was issued in the past and the builders has not complied with such notices. Further, resolved that in future the action taken report on the notices mentioned at agenda side be placed in the ensuing board meeting.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

- [4] FABRICATION, ERECTION, COMMISSIONING & SUPPLY OF DUAL SIDE DUMPER PLACER EQUIPMENT OF TWIN BINS OF 3.00 CU. M CAPACITY (ISO CERTIFIED FIRMS ONLY).

To consider and approve for fabrication, Erection, Commissioning and supply of Dual Side Dumper Placer Equipment of twin bins of 3.00 Cu.M. Capacity.

Tenders were called vide Tender Notification No. Workshop/Tender Notice/1396 dated 28-06-2012 by advertising in Vartha (Telugu) Hindu (English) and Munsif Daily (Urdu) published in the news paper on 29-06-2012 In response to the advertisement the following tenders were received on 12-07-2012 The tenders were opened by the Chief Executive Officer in presence of tenderers and Staff. The comparative statement of the rates received as under :-

S.No.	Name of the firms nd address	Rate quoted by Firm	Quantity Required	Total Amount
1	M/s. N B K Engineering & Fabrications Private Limited	` 2,80,000.00	5	` 14,00,000.00
2	M/s. Hitech Services	` 5,60,000.00	5	` 28,00,000.00
3	M/s. S M S Industries	` 3,85,000.00	5	` 19,25,000.00

M/s. N B K Engineering & Fabrications Private Limited mentioned at SI.No 1 had quoted lowest rate at ` 2,80,000/- (Rupees Two lakhs Eighty thousand only).

The total expenditure for fabrication, Erection, Commissioning and supply of Dual Side Dumper Placer Equipment of twin bins of 3.00 Cu.M. Capacity works out to ` 14,00,000/- (Rupees Fourteen Lakhs only).

The relevant file and paper are placed on the table.

Resolution : Considered and resolved that the lowest rate quoted by the firm is approved and decided to forward [the same](#) to General Officer Commanding –in-Chief, Southern Command, Pune for seeking sanction under rule 17 A read with 19 B of Cantonment Account Code 1924.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

[5] FABRICATION OF 3.00 CU.M. CAPACITY M.S.DUST BINS AS STANDARD SPECIFICATION

To consider and approve for fabrication of 3.00 Cu.M. Capacity M.S.Dust Bins as Standard specifications.

Tenders were called vide Tender Notification No. Workshop/Tender Notice/1396 dated 28-06-2012 by advertising in Vartha (Telugu) Hindu (English) and Munsif Daily (Urdu) published in the news paper on 29-06-2012 In response to the advertisement the following tenders were received on 12-07-2012 The tenders were opened by the Chief Executive Officer in presence of tenderers and Staff. The comparative statement of the rates received as as under :-

S.No.	Name of the firms nd address	Rate quoted by Firm	Quantity Required	Total Amount
1	Royal Engineering Works	` 34,880-00	200	` 69,76,000
2	L &C Engineering Industries	` 30,980-00	200	` 61,96,000

3	M/s. N B K Engineering & Fabrications Private Limited	` 29,000-00	200	` 58,00,000
4	Hi- Tech Services	` 44,200-00	200	` 88,40,000
5	SMS Industries	` 37,500-00	200	` 75,00,000

M/s. N B K Engineering & Fabrications Private Limited mentioned at Sl.No 3 had quoted lowest rate at ` 29,000/- (Rupees Twenty Nine Thousand only) per dust bin.

The total expenditure for 200 dust bins works out to ` 58,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Eight lakhs only).

The relevant file is placed on the table

Resolution : Considered and resolved that the lowest rate quoted by the firm is approved and decided to forward [the same](#) to General Officer Commanding -in- Chief, Southern Command, Pune for seeking sanction under rule 17 A read with 19 B of Cantonment Account Code 1924

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

[6] ESTIMATES FOR DRAINS

To consider and approve the following estimates for Drains in Cantonment Area.

S.No	Description	Amount
1	Maintenance & Repairs to Open Drain – Providing RCC Slab over existing drain at H No 1-37-1204 to existing pipe line at Ambedkar Nagar	` 5,60,000-00
2	Maintenance & Repairs to Open Nala –	

Construction of Drain walls and providing RCC Slab at H No 1-37-932 beside Durgamma Temple, Annanagar, Balamrai	13,68,000-00
---	--------------

The relevant file is placed on the table

B. Resolution : The estimates of drains in the Cantonment were considered and accepted.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

[7] REJECTION OF BUILDING APPLICATION

To consider and reject the building application for regularization of unauthorised construction submitted by Shri Guruvaiah in respect of plot No 66, in Sy. No 77 to 91 & 94 to 95, Asha CHS, Trimulgherry, [SBH Colony], Secunderabad Cantonment.

1. The open set backs has not left as per the sanctioned plan which is contrary to building byelaws and FSI Imposed by the GOC-in-C, Sc, Pune.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

G. Resolution : Considered and resolved to reject the building application for the reasons mentioned in the at the agenda-side.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

[8] REJECTION OF BUILDING APPLICATION

To consider and reject the building application for regularization of unauthorized construction submitted by Shri Dachepalli Chandraiah and Shri Dachepalli Srinivas in respect of Open plot opposite to Plot No 22, Sy. No 53 & 55, Sri Malani CHS [Jaya Enclave] situated at Bowenpally, Secunderabad Cantonment for following reasons.

1. The FSI has been exceeded more than permissible FSI 1.5
2. The marginal open space, set backs have not maintained at front side of the building as per building bye laws.
3. No objection for Airport Authority of India has been not submitted.
4. Notice Under Section 248(1) / 320 of Cantonment Act 2006 has already been issued for demolition of the unauthorised construction.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

Resolution : Considered and resolved to reject the building application for the reasons mentioned [in the at the](#) agenda

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

[9] SUPPLY OF MANPOWER FOR SPECIAL SCHOOL AT GUN BAZAR,
RASOOLPURA, SECUNDERABAD CANTONMENT

The consider and approve the matter of supply of Manpower for Special School at Gun Bazar, Rasoolpura, Secunderabad Cantonment.

This office issued a tender notice through advertisement vide this office letter No EB/Tender Notice/2012-13/1357 dated 26-06-2012 which was published in Hindu, Deccan Chronicle, Varath and Munisif Daily. A total No of 6 sealed quotations were received. The sealed quotations were opened in the presence of firms on 06-07-2012. The agencies has quoted their percentage over the total billing based on the rates followed by National Institute of Mentally Handicapped [NIMH] in respect of teachers are as under.

S.No	Name of the Firms / Agencies	Percentage Quoted	Remarks
------	------------------------------	-------------------	---------

1	M/s G A Digital Web World Private Limited	3 %	
2	Resource Square Solutions	10 %	
3	M/s M N R & Co	0.09 %	
4	Sandhya Electricals	[-] 0.5 %	The license of the firm had been expired by 31-05-2012 and the same may be treated as Invalid and the rate quoted is not justifiable
5	Srujan Infrastructure and Services	4%	
6	Sri Lakshmi Conversancies	5 %	

M/s M N R & Co quoted the lowest percent of 0.09 %.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

D. Resolution : Considered and resolved that an undertaking be obtain from the M/s. M N R & company stating that firm will not ~~to~~ make any deduction from the salary of employees to increase its percentage and after obtaining the same a circular agenda may be floated.

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

[10] REJECTION OF APPEAL U/SEC 340 OF CANTONMENTS ACT 2006

[A] To consider and reject the appeal under section 340 of Cantonments Act 2006 filed by Shri K. Ravinder against the notice under section 239 of Cantonments Act 2006 regarding unauthorised construction by violating the sanctioned plan at Plot No 22, 23 & 24, Sy. No 1 to 4, G L Yadav Nagar Colony. Seetharampur, Bowenpally, Secunderabad Cantonment.

One Shri Gaddan Laxmaiah has obtained the individual building permission vide CBR No 6(2), 6(3), & 6(4), dated 22-03-2011 for construction of Still, Grount, First and Second Floors. However at the time construction, they have clubbed three plots together and started constructing a single building unauthorisedly by violating the sanctioned plans. To stop the said unauthorised construction this office has issued notice under section 239 of Cantonments Act 2006. Several times the demolition squad has removed the centering material from Ground Floor and Floor at the site.

Against to this office Notice under section 239, one Shri K Ravinder submitted appeal under section 340 of Cantonments Act 2006 wherein he stated that he is the bonafide purchaser of Plot No 22, 23 & 24, Sy.No 1 to 4, G L Yadav Nagar Colony, Seetharampur, Bowenpally, Secunderabad Cantonment. Shri K Raviner has also filed a writ petition No 16857 of 2012 on the file of Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh.

The said appeal is not maintainable and the same may be rejected in view of the following observations.

1. Clubbing of plots are not allowed as per the sanctioned layout conditions and as per the directions of the Principal Director, Defence Estates, Southern Command, Pune.
2. Required setbacks were not left as per building byelaws.
3. The Board has not accorded any sanction to the said building in the name of Shri K Ravinder and others to the said plot numbers other than Shri Gaddam Laxmaiah.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

[B] To consider and reject the Appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006 filed by Shri.B.Puranmal against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006 regarding unauthorized construction by violating the sanctioned plan at Bungalow No.207/A, GLR Sy.No.677, Tarbund, Secunderabad Cantonment.

Shri. B.Puranmal made additions and alterations to the existing unauthorized sheds without any prior approval from the Cantonment Board for

which this Office already issued a Show Cause Notice on 06-12-2004 and a Notice U/s 185(1) of CA 1924 on 24-02-2005 directing you to demolish the unauthorized construction.

Against the Notice issued Shri. B.Puranmal has preferred an appeal U/s 274 of Cantonment Act 1924 and also filed a suit in OS No.507 of 2005 on the file of Illrd Sr. Civil Judge, CCC, Secunderabad under the guise of pendency of said Appeal and Suit he made additions and alterations in violation of Cantonment Act 2006.

Further notice U/s 239 of CA 2006 on 19-12-2011 directing him to stop the work. As he did not stop the work a notice U/s 249 of CA 2006 was also issued on 29-12-2011 to seal the unauthorized premises. Then he preferred a Suit in OS No.628 of 2011 on the file of Illrd Senior Civil Judge, CCC, Secunderabad, where in the Hon'ble Court passed status quo orders. Against which, this Office preferred a CMA in 14 of 2012, on the file of Ist Addl.Chief Judge, CCC, Secunderabad, even though status quo orders passed by Hon'ble Civil Court, when this Office prevented the new construction / re-construction, he has filed a Writ in WP No.18122/12 on the file of Hon'ble High Court of AP. The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh passed status quo orders in the said writ and erected sign Board etc.

The said unauthorized construction is situated in Bungalow No.207/A, which is classified as B3 Old Grant under the management of DEO, AP Circle, Secunderabad and the ownership rights are vested with Central Government.

The said Appeal is not maintainable and the same may be rejected in view of the above observations.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

(C) To consider and reject the Appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006 filed by Shri. Mirza Waris Baig against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006 regarding unauthorized construction by violating the sanctioned plan at Open Plot in Sy.No.72, abutting to Cantonment Fund Road situated at Rasoolpura, Secunderabad Cantonment.

Shri. Mirza Waris Baig has obtained building permission vide CBR No.18(11), dated 08-12-2009 for construction of Cellar, Ground, First & Second Floors but at the time of construction he has violated the sanctioned plans accorded to them. To stop the said unauthorized construction, this Office issued a Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006. Several times the demolition squad of this Office removed the centering material from the site. Against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006, Shri. Mirza Waris Baig submitted appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006.

The said Appeal is not maintainable and the same may be rejected in view of the following observations:

The setbacks around the building are not maintained as per the sanctioned building plan.

The FSI has been increased the permissible FSI i.e. 1:1.5, which is against the building byelaws.

It is further noticed from the plan the said proposed construction as available with them described as "commercial complex" and it appears that he is carrying out the construction to sell to the public by changing the purpose from residential to commercial, accordingly all the internal as well as external specifications were changed, the entire plan modified and the floor structure, FSI etc., were changed.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

(D) To consider and reject the Appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006 filed by Shri. CH.V.P.Uma Shankar & Shri.J.Raja, against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006 regarding unauthorized construction by violating the sanctioned plan at Plot No.3, Sy.No.21, Vasavi CHS Ltd., Vasavi Nagar Colony, Kakaguda Village, Secunderabad Cantonment.

Shri. CH.V.P.Uma Shankar & Shri.J.Raja has obtained building permission vide CBR No.7(20), dated 22-03-2011 for construction of Stilt, Ground, First & Second Floors but at the time of construction, she has violated the sanctioned plans accorded to them. To stop the said unauthorized construction, this Office has issued a Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006. Several times the demolition squad of this Office stopped the work including centering materials removed

from the site. Against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006, Shri. CH.V.P.Uma Shankar & Shri.J.Raja submitted appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006.

The said Appeal is not maintainable and the same may be rejected in view of the following observations:

The setbacks around the building are not maintained as per the sanctioned building plan.

The FSI has been increased the permissible FSI i.e. 1:1.5, which is against the building byelaws.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

(E) To consider and reject the Appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006 filed by Shri. Gubba Kiran Kumar against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006 regarding unauthorized construction by violating the sanctioned plan at Plot No.25, The Secunderabad Postal Employees Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., P & T Colony, Trimulgherry Village, Secunderabad Cantonment.

Shri. Gubba Kiran Kumar has obtained building permission vide CBR No.7(23), dated 22-03-2011 for construction of Stilt, Ground, First & Second Floors but at the time of construction he has violated the sanctioned plans. To stop the said unauthorized construction, this Office issued a Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006. Several times the demolition squad of this Office removed the centering material from the site. Against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006, Shri. Gubba Kiran Kumar submitted appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006.

The said Appeal is not maintainable and the same may be rejected in view of the following observations:

The setbacks around the building are not maintained as per the sanctioned building plan.

The FSI has been increased the permissible FSI i.e. 1:1.5, which is against the building byelaws.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

(F) To consider and reject the Appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006 filed by Smt.Mubeena Rahman against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006 regarding unauthorized construction by violating the sanctioned plan at Plot No.26, Sanjeeviah Mutually Aided CHS, East Marredpally, Secunderabad Cantonment.

One Smt. Mubeena Rahaman has obtained building permission vide CBR No.12(12), dated 27-04-2010 for construction of Stilt, Ground, First & Second Floors but at the time of construction she has violated the sanctioned plans accorded to them. To stop the said unauthorized construction, this Office issued a Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006. Several times the demolition squad of this Office removed the centering material from the site at First & Second floor level. Against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006, Smt.Mubeena Rahman submitted appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006.

The said Appeal is not maintainable and the same may be rejected in view of the following observations:

The FSI has been increased the permissible FSI i.e. 1:1.5, which is against the building byelaws.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

(H) To consider and reject the Appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006 filed by Shri. B.Shankar against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006 regarding unauthorized construction at House No.3-7-44 situated at Kakaguda Village, Secunderabad Cantonment.

Shri. B.Shankar is making unauthorized construction of Ground Floor without obtaining prior permission from the Cantonment Board. To stop the said unauthorized construction, this Office issued a Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006. Several times the demolition squad of this Office removed the centering material from the site. Against the Notice U/s 239 of Cantonment Act 2006, Shri. B.Shankar submitted appeal U/s 340 of CA 2006.

The said Appeal is not maintainable and the same may be rejected in view of the following observations:

The construction is totally unauthorized without any valid permission from the Cantonment Board and also against the building byelaws.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

E. Resolution : Considered and resolved to reject all the appeals under section 340 of Cantonment Act 2006,

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

GRANT OF EXTENSION

[11] To consider letter dated 12-04-2012 received from Shri Mohd Shariquddin requesting to grant extension of time for one year for construction of residential building on Plot No 27, in Sy. No 20/1, 21 & 22 / Part, GLR Sy.No 396/Part, situated at Sanjeeviah Mutually aided Co-Operative Housing Society, East Marredpally, Secunderabad Cantonment. The plan earlier was sanctioned by the Cantonment Board, Secunderabad vide CBR No 12(1) dated 27-04-2010

The applicant has not commenced the work at site

The relevant file is placed on the table.

F. Resolution : Considered and resolved that extension be granted for a period of One year on payment of Rs.5,000 /- Considered and resolved that extension of time of one more year from the date of issue of letter of extension of time may be given to applicants as mentioned at agenda side. The processing fees / charges of Rs. 5000/- is applicable and shall be paid by the applicant.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li, No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

BUILDING APPLICATIONS

(12) To consider the following building applications U/s 235 of Cantonments Act, 2006.

SN o.	NAME	LOCATION	FLOORS	PLOT AREA	Name of AE/AEE
01.	D.GOVARDHAN	PLOT NO.74, SY.NO.74/3, RAVI	GF, FF & SF	238.80 Sq.Yds	B.Balakrishna /

		CHS LTD., MAHENDRA HILLS, EAST MARREDPALLY			K.M.Devaraj
--	--	---	--	--	-------------

Office Note:- The layout has been already approved by the Board vide CBR No. 2(9) dated 11-10-1988 and confirmed by the PDDE vide their letter No.304/NCA/Ravi CHS/DE dated 05-03-1993. The Society has executed gift deed by handing over 40% area of the layout to the Cantonment Board through registered gift deed No.1053/94. Earlier, the Collector, Hyderabad District vide his letter No.F3/106/98 dated 27.04.1998 informed this Office not to grant any building permission in the entire Sy.No.74 of Mahendra Hills, East Marredpally as the said land was under grabbing and the State Government is already enquiring in the subject matter. Finally the Ravi CHS filed a WP No.24079/1995 against the Orders of the Collector, Hyderabad District.

The matter was referred to C.L.A. Shri. Deepak Bhattacharjee for seeking legal opinion vide this office letter No.SCB/EB/Ravi CHS/1049 dated 30-05-2012. The Cantonment Board Legal Advisor, Shri. Deepak Bhattacharjee informed vide his letter dated 30th May, 2012 stating that:

The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in WP No.24079/1995 passed the following order on 20-11-1995:-

"It is ordered that the respondents herein be and hereby are directed to accord building permissions to the members of the Ravi Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Secunderabad in respect of the land in survey No.74/3 an extent of Ac.14.31 guntas situated at East Marredpally, Secunderabad as per approved layout pending further orders on this petition".

That, the lands in survey No.74/3 are claimed by Government of Andhra Pradesh and accordingly a land grabbing case was filed before the land Grabbing Court under the A.P.Land Grabbing Act. The case was dismissed by the tribunal and thereafter a writ petition is filed by the Government of AP which is still pending. In view of the above circumstances, the application for the sanction of the building plan can be considered taking into consideration the subsisting order in WP No.24079/1995 dated 20-11-1995 subject to giving an undertaking on the following lines:-

"I am aware of the fact that the Government of Andhra Pradesh has claimed the right over the land upon which I am seeking the permission to construct the

building. In the event the Government of Andhra Pradesh succeeds in the pending writ petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, I shall not claim any equity and the Cantonment Board shall have the right to cancel the plan sanctioned and the State Government shall be at liberty to take appropriate proceeding under due process of law".

Accordingly the applicant have submitted the Affidavit duly notarized on 08th June, 2012.

The subject building applications have been scrutinized in technical aspects and the same is found to be in order.

The relevant papers are placed on the table.

Resoution : The Matter was discussed at length. The rep of District Magistrate stated that, Government of Andhra Pradesh has ordered a comprehensive survey on Sy.No 74 hence, permission cannot be granted at this stage. The Board resolved that since being Government of Andhra Pradesh has ordered a comprehensive survey, the applicants may approach the District Collector for obtaining the No Objection Certificate in this regard, however the CEO has stated that he has to comply the Hon'ble High Court orders.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

BUILDING APPLICATION

(13) To consider the following building applications U/s 235 of Cantonments Act, 2006.

SN o.	NAME	LOCATION	FLOORS	PLOT AREA	Name of AE/AEE
01.	A.JANARDHAN REDDY & OTHER	PLOT NO.36, SY.NO.74/7, DHANALAKSHMI CHS LTD., MAHENDRA HILLS, EAST MARREDPALLY	GF, FF & SF	300.00 Sq.Yds	B.Balakrishna / K.M.Devaraj

Office Note:- The layout has been already approved by the Board vide CBR No. 11(C)57 dated 29-08-1980 and confirmed by the PDDE vide their letter No.304/NCA/VI/DLC dated 17-06-1981. The Society has executed gift deed by handing over 40% area of the layout to the Cantonment Board. Earlier, the Collector, Hyderabad District vide his letter No.F3/106/98 dated 27.04.1998 informed this Office not to grant any building permission in the entire Sy.No.74 of Mahendra Hills, East Marredpally as the said land was under grabbing and the State Government is already enquiring in the subject matter.

The applicants has submitted a building application in this Office on 08-09-2011 in respect of Plot No.36, in Sy.No.74/7, Dhanalakshmi CHS, East Marredpally for construction of Ground, First & Second Floor, the same has been returned to the applicant vide this Office letter No.SCB/EB/PNo.36/Dhanalaxmi CHS/East M'pally/316/2545 dated 15th October, 2011 stating to obtain NOC from Tahsildar, Marredpally and Dist.Collector, Hyderabad District from land point of view. Aggrieved by the letter the applicant has filed WP No.2723 of 2012 in Hon'ble High Court of AP, Hyderabad. The Hon'ble High Court have passed orders as below:

"A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents stating that LGC No.167 of 1997 was dismissed by the Special Court by order dated 23-08-2010 and challenging the same the State preferred WP No.19106 of 2010. It is also stated that pending the said writ petition, the division Bench directed that any construction and change in the nature of the land shall be subject to the result of the writ petition.

Hence, there shall be a direction to the respondents to consider the application of the petitioners for construction of building on the plot in question without insisting on NOC subject to the condition that the petitioners give an undertaking within one week from today that they will not claim any equity in the event of the Government's success in WP No.19106 of 2010."

The matter was referred to CLA, Shri.Deepak Bhattacharjee, Advocate for seeking legal opinion vide this Office letter dated 30-04-2012. The CLA opined vide his letter dated 25-05-2012, stating that

"This is a case where A.Janardhan Reddy and A.Susheelamma gave a notice under Section 235 of the Cantonment Act 2006 on 06-09-2011. The application was returned on the ground that no objection certificate is not received by the Tahsildar and the District Collector, Hyderabad district and the applicants

were accordingly informed under letter dated 15-10-2011. The said order was questioned in WP No.2723/2012 by the petitioners. The Hon'ble Court directed the Respondents i.e. The District Collector, Hyderabad district, Tahsildar, Marredpally District and the Cantonment Board on 12-03-2012 to consider the application of the petitioners for construction of building on the plot in question without insisting on NOC subject to the condition that the petitioners shall give an undertaking within one week from the date of order that they will not claim any equity in the event of the Government's success in WP No.19106 of 2010. The applicants resubmitted the application on 17-03-2012 as per the direction of the Hon'ble High Court along with a declaration in the form of affidavit. The affidavit was however is not signed by the second applicant Smt.A.Shuseelamma. The Second applicant Smt.AShuseelamma should also be directed to submit a similar affidavit giving undertaking that she shall not claim any equities in the event of the Government's success in WP No.19106 of 2010. It is after the second applicant also submitted the affidavit, in compliance of the direction of the Hon'ble High Court, the Board should consider the application without insisting on NOC from the District Collector, Hyderabad District, Tahsildar Marredpally as per the provisions of the building bye-laws and the Cantonment Act 2006. Meanwhile, a letter was addressed to the applicants to submit a joint or a single affidavit which should contain the following undertaking:-

"That, in the event the Government of Andhra Pradesh succeeds in WP No.19106 of 2010 which is filed against the dismissal order passed by the Special Court by an order dated 2308.2010 in LGC No.167/1997, we shall not claim any equities in respect of any building constructed as per the plan sanctioned by the Board on Plot No.36 in Sy.No.74/7 admeasuring 300 Sq.Yds or 250.8 Sq.Mts. Situated in Dhanalakshmi Co-operative Housing Society Ltd at East Marredpally, Secunderabad Cantonment, Secunderabad."

Accordingly the applicants have submitted single Affidavit duly notarized on 11th June, 2012.

The subject building application has been scrutinized in technical aspects and the same is found to be in order.

The relevant papers are placed on the table.

H. Resoution : The Matter was discussed at length. The rep of District Magistrate stated that, —Government of Andhra Pradesh has ordered a comprehensive survey on Sy.No -74 hence, permission cannot be granted at this stage. The Board resolved that since —being Government of Andhra Pradesh has ordered a for comprehensive survey, the applicants may approach the District Collector for obtaining the No —Objection Certificate in this regard, however the CEO has stated that he has to —comply the Hon'ble High Court orders.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

BUILDING APPLICATION

(14)To consider the following building applications U/s 235 of Cantonments Act, 2006.

SN o.	NAME	LOCATION	FLOORS	PLOT AREA	Name of AE/AEE
01.	DR.ADDANKI SURI BABU & DR.MALLE BOYINA SALOMI	PLOT NO.55, SY.NO.74/7, DHANALAKSHMI CHS LTD., MAHENDRA HILLS, EAST MARREDPALLY	GF & FF	333.33 Sq.Yds	B.Balakrishna K.M.Devaraj

Office Note:- The layout has been already approved by the Board vide CBR No. 11(C)57 dated 29-08-1980 and confirmed by the PDDE vide their letter No.304/NCA/VI/DLC dated 17-06-1981. The Society has executed gift deed by handing over 40% area of the layout to the Cantonment Board. Earlier, the Collector, Hyderabad District vide his letter No.F3/106/98 dated 27.04.1998 informed this Office not to grant any building permission in the entire Sy.No.74 of Mahendra Hills, East Marredpally as the said land was under grabbing and the State Government is already enquiring in the subject matter.

The applicant has submitted the copy of letter from Joint Collector, Hyderabad District, Government of AP (Revenue Department) letter No.E1/4682/2011 dated 20-10-2011, where in it is stated that "The Commissioner, Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad is hereby informed that the request for No Objection Certificate applied for approval of plan

for construction on land over an extent of 333 Sq.Yds., in Plot No.55, Dhanalakshmi Colony, Secunderabad in Sy.No.74 of Marredpally Village, Marredpally Mandal, Hyderabad District is examined and it is informed that it is classified as Government land. Subsequently, the Government have regularized the land in terms of G.O.Ms.No.166, Revenue (Assn.POT) Dept., dated 16-02-2008 and Deed of Conveyance executed vide No.1018/2010, dated 30-06-2010 in favor of the applicant.

This case is approved by the Committee Constituted under G.Os., 4th & 5th cited in the Meeting held on 07-09-2011. Hence, this endorsement is issued only to an extent of 333 Sq.Yds., for the purpose of considering sanction of building plan by the Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation, Hyderabad, subject to third party claim and Court litigation, if any.

This endorsement does not constitute any title or right over the said land. It is for the Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad to verify the legal ownership and possession of the land by the applicant before approving the plan for construction of building.

This endorsement does not preclude cancellation of the endorsement and further action as per rules if it is found at later date that the land is Government Land or if anyone else proves as rightful owner”.

The subject building application has been scrutinized in technical aspects and the same is found to be in order.

The relevant papers are placed on the table.

Resoution : The Matter was discussed at length. The rep of District Magistrate stated that, Government of Andhra Pradesh has ordered a comprehensive survey on Sy.No. 74 hence, permission cannot be granted at this stage. The Board resolved that since being Government of Andhra Pradesh has ordered a for comprehensive survey, the applicants may approach the District Collector for obtaining the No Objection Certificate in this regard, however the CEO has stated that he has to comply the Hon'ble High Court orders.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Tab stops: Not at 0.25"

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

TRUE EXTRACT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE ORDINARY BOARD MEETING OF THE SECUNDERABAD CANTONMENT BOARD HELD AT THE OFFICE OF THE CANTONMENT BOARD, SECUNDERABAD ON THURSDAY THE 19TH JULY 2012.

REVISION OF DEARNESS ALLOWANCE

[15]To consider the proposal of sanction of Dearness Allowance (DA) in respect of the Cantonment Fund Servants.

The Government of Andhra Pradesh revised the Dearness Allowance to the State Government Employees with effect from 01-01-2012. The revised percentage of DA rates is as under.

SNo.	G O Ms No.	Date	Existing DA	DA enhanced	DA Percentage	With effect from
1	178	04-07-2012	35.952%	41.944%	5.992 %	01-01-2012

As per the memorandum of settlement, the Cantonment Fund Servants are eligible for the said revised rates as per the Government instructions on the subject.

This office received a letter from the President, Secunderabad Cantonment Board Employees Union requesting for payment of total arrears of Dearness Allowance in cash instead of partly crediting the same into respective GPF Accounts of the employees.

Prior sanction of GOC-in-C, SC, Pune is required under rule 17A read with 19(b) of Cantonment Account Code, 1924.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

Resolution : Considered and resolved that the proposal be forwarded to the General Officer Commanding in Chief, Southern Command, and Pune for seeking sanction under rule 17A read with 19B of Cantonment Account Code 1924

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

[16] CIRCULAR AGENDAS

To consider and note the following circular agendas.

Circular Agenda Date	Description
27 th June 2012	Supply & Delivery of 09 Tonne GVW Cabin Chasis BS-III emission norms suitable for fabrications of Dual side loader dumper placers of twin bins of 3.00 cum capacity each
21 st June 2012	Temporary Allotment And Permission of Open Ground At Various Places In Secunderabad Cantonment
23 rd May 2012	Annual Medical Indent 2012 - 2013
16 th May 2012	Advance Payment to GHMC Hyderabad towards dumping of solid waste by the Cantonment Board, Secunderabad at Jawaharnagar, Dumping Yard, Hyderabad

Resolution : Considered and Noted.

SUPPLIMENTARY AGENDA

[17] To consider the matter of construction of 30 bedded Cantonment General hospital, Bolarum, in Secunderabad Cantonment Area.

The Cantonment Board, Secunderabad issued tender notice to local newspapers advertisement vide this officer letter No. EB/Tender Notice/2012-2013/584, dated 24-03-2012.

In response a total number of 4 sealed Tender were received and the said Tender were opened in the presence of the firms on 25-04-2012.

The Comparative statement is as under:-

S.No	Name of the firm	Amount quoted
1	M/s Y.V.Parameshwar Reddy	(+) 105%
2	M/s DEC Infrastructure & Projects P.Ltd	(+) 49%
3	M/s NJR Constructions	Not Submitted
4	Ch. Gowri Shankar	(+) 80%
5	M/ Nimma Narayana Engg & contractors	(+) 100%

The DEC Infrastructure Projects P. Ltd has quoted the lowest percentage @ 49% above MES SSR 2010. The said Tender was forwarded to GE(south) for reasonability vide this office Lr. No. EB/reasonability/CGH/ 769 dated 26-04-2012 and even No. 1019 dated 25-05-2012 . The GE (South) vide their Lr. No. 8019/CB/09/EB dated 16-07-2012 has furnished the reasonable rate as (+) 28% (plus Twenty eight) above MES SSR 2010.

The estimate for reconstruction of Cantonment Genral hospital , Bolarum, has been revised accordingly basing on the reasonable rate furnished by GE (South) and the details are as under:-

SNo.	Description	Unit	Rate per unit	Total
1.	Total plinth area of proposed hospital	2152.7 Sqmt	Rs. 17,000/- per Sqm	3,65,95,900/-
2	EXTERNAL SERVICES			
A	Water & Sanitation Works		Rs. 14,74,000/-	
B	Electrical Services		Rs. 10,53,000/-	
C	Septic Tank		Rs. 3,24,000/-	
D	Over Head Storage Reservoir		Rs. 8,00,000/-	

E	Roads & Drains	Rs. 67,36,000/-	
F	Provision Bore Well Along with Submersible Pump set	Rs. 5,00,000/-	
G	Total	Rs. 1,09,14,000/-	
H	Add 28% of Market Variation as per reasonable rate furnished by GE(south) vide Lr. No. 8019/CB/09/EB dated 16-07-2012	Rs. 30,55,920/-	
I	Total (G + H)	Rs. 1,39,69,920/-	Rs. 1,39,69,920/-
J	Add : Contingencies LS		Rs. 34,180/-
K	Grand total = total estimate cost of the Project		Rs.5,06,00,000/-
(Rupees Five Crores six lakhs only)			

Therefore the contractor may be asked to furnish his willingness for executing the subject protect as per the reasonable rate furnished by GE(south) .

The relevant file along with revised estimates, CE (Factory) Letter dated 15-02-2012 and GE(south) letter dated 16-07-2012 are place on the table.

Resolution : Considered and resolved that the willingness certificate be obtained from the contractor on the reasonability of the rates submitted by the GE(South) from the lowest contractor and the proposal be forwarded to the General Officer Commanding in Chief for seeking sanction under rule 17A read with 9B of Cantonment Account Code 1924 .

Formatted: Font: 13 pt, English (India)

Formatted: Font: 13 pt, English (India)

AUCTION FOR DISPOSAL OF SITE FOR ADVERTISEMENT

HOARDINGS FOR A PERIOD OF 2 YEARS

[18] To consider the matter of disposal of sites for advertisement hoardings in Secunderabad Cantonment area.

Office Note:

Sealed tenders for disposal of sites for advertisement Hoardings for a period of 2 years were invited through local Newspaper Vaartha, Siyasat and Deccan Chronicle India for 2nd re-tender on 11 May 2012 at 1600 hours and opened at 16-15 hours for 17 No sites. In response a total number of 16 firms have taken the tender schedules. The sealed tenders were opened on 16-05-2012. The comparative statement is as under.

The comparative statement is as under :

S.NO	Firm	1- GLR487	2- GLR487	3- GLR487	4- GLR487	5- GLR487	6- GLR487
1	FORE SITES						
2	NARNE						
3	ADVIEWS						` 94000
4	SIGNA					` 52200	
5	BRAND WAGON				` 15000	` 55000	
6	KALYANI						
7	V J ARTS						
8	SREENI						` 30000
9	M R PUBLICITY						
10	UNIADS						` 50400

S.NO	Firm	7- GLR487	8- GLR487	9- GLR487	10- GLR487	11- GLR487	12- GLR487
1	FORE SITES						
2	NARNE					` 61000	` 77000
3	ADVIEWS						
4	SIGNA			` 74700			

5	BRAND WAGON						
6	KALYANI			` 72000			
7	V J ARTS						
8	SREENI					` 20000	` 10000
9	M R PUBLICITY			` 40500			
10	UNIADS			` 80000			

S.NO	Firm	13- GLR487	14- GLR487	15- GLR779	16- GLR779	17- GLR 710	18- GLR 761
1	FORE SITES		` 40000				
2	NARNE						
3	ADVIEWS						
4	SIGNA						
5	BRAND WAGON					` 50000	
6	KALYANI					` 61000	
7	V J ARTS						
8	SREENI		` 30000				
9	M R PUBLICITY						
10	UNIADS					` 65000	

S.NO	Firm	19- GLR761	20- GLR761	21 GLR761	22- GLR592	23- GLR552	24- GLR779
1	FORE SITES					` 28000	
2	NARNE						
3	ADVIEWS					` 8000	
4	SIGNA						
5	BRAND WAGON	` 55000					
6	KALYANI					` 20000	

7	V J ARTS						
8	SREENI						
9	M R PUBLICITY	` 35100					
10	UNIADS						

S.NO	Firm	25- GLR437	26- GLR290	27 GLR290
1	FORE SITES			
2	NARNE			
3	ADVIEWS			
4	SIGNA			
5	BRAND WAGON			
6	KALYANI			
7	V J ARTS			
8	SREENI			
9	M R PUBLICITY			
10	UNIADS		` 65000	` 42000

The firms have quoted the highest rates for the sites mentioned at Site No 5, 6, 9, 17 & 23 where in three and above quotations have been received.

For the Site No 4, 26 & 27 Only One tender has been received

For the Site No 11, 12, 14 & 19 only two tenders have been received

No tenders have been received for Site No 1, 2, 8, 15 & 20

Again this office has called tenders for third tender for the remaining sites on 25th May 2012.

The Comparative Statement is as under.

S.NO	Firm	1- GLR487	2- GLR487	4- GLR487	8- GLR487	11- GLR487	12 - GLR487
------	------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	----------------

1	Sreeni Ads			` 10000		` 20000	
2	Narene Creativ			` 30000		` 35000	
3	Aditya Commn			` 15000		` 27000	
4	Kalyani Ads				` 63000		
5	Sapna India						
6	Uniads						
7	Sai Ads						

S.NO	Firm	14 – GLR487	15 – GLR487	19 – GLR487	20 – GLR761	26 – GLR290	27 – GLR290
1	Sreeni Ads	` 15000					
2	Narene Creativ	` 28000					
3	Aditya Commn	` 12000				` 10000	` 10000
4	Kalyani Ads				` 36000		
5	Sapna India					` 18000	` 15000
6	Uniads					` 35000	` 25000
7	Sai Ads					` 10000	` 10000

- 1, Narne Creative has quoted the highest rates for site No 4 , 11 & 14
2. Uni Ads has quoted highest amount for site No 26 & 27
3. Only One Tender has been received for site No 8 & 20
4. No tender has been received for site No 1, 2, 12, 15 18 19

The relevant file us placed in the table

Resolution : Considered and approved.

IMPRESST AMOUNT

[18] To consider the matter of permanent advanvce [imprest] amount of Rs. 5,000/- to Superintendent Water Works for carrying out immediate minor repairs to Motors, Submersible Motors and other equipment which goes out of order and

also to avoid delay so that there should not be any disturbance in supplying of water.

Office Note : According to Sec. 58 to 61 of Cantonment Account Code 1924 an amount has to be fixed / approved by the Board. The Superintendent Water Works has to impress Account as per Cantonment Account Code Form in IAF Cantt. 20 B.

The relevant file is placed on the table.

4. Resolution : Considered and approved the imprest amount of Rs. 5,000/- and further resolved that the Supdt Water Works has to maintain the imprest Account—Register as per Cantonment Account Code Form in IAF Cantt. 20 B.

Formatted: Font: 13 pt

Formatted: No bullets or numbering

20) In the end some of the points raised by the member were :-

(a) Need for surprise checks on the toll/octroi barriers to ascertain mal-` practices, if any. It was ruled that a proper record of checks will be maintained by the CEO. If a member is available he could be in corporated in such checks. Members were advised to bring specific complaints with proofs in this regard and not make sweeping allegations.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 1"

(b) With regard to connections from bore wells is concerned the CEO made a commitment that the same would be done at the earliest.

Formatted: List Paragraph, Line spacing: 1.5 lines, Numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: a, b, c, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0.5" + Indent at: 1"

(c) Some culverts have not be constructed for the last six years since the rates quoted are not reasonable The members indicated that the rate reasonability must also take into account the difficulty of the location. It was decided that the lowest rates will be placed before the next board meeting to assess reasonability of rates and to decide on the tenders.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", Hanging: 0.5", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Sd/-
[S. Balakrishna, IDES]
Member Secretary
Cantonment Board
Secunderabad.

Sd/-
[Maj. Gen. C.A.Krishnan]
President, Cantonment Board.
Secunderabad

// TRUE EXTRACT //

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT
OFFICE OF THE CANTONMENT BOARD
SECUNDERABAD

In the end some of the points raised by the member were :-

(a) Need for surprise checks on the tolls octroi barriers to ascertain malpractises if any. It was ruled that a proper record of checks will be maintained by the CEO and members may be informed of the same. If a member is available he could be in corporate in such checks. Members were addressed to bring specific complaints with proofs in this regard and not make sweeping allegations.

(b) With regard to connections from bore wells is concerned the CEO made a commitment that the same would be done at the earliest. (25th of Jul).

(c) With regards to expdr made on electrical fittings of the bd is concerned it was ruled that the details will be obtained in next 10 days.

(d) Some have not be constructed for the last six years since the rates quoted are not reasonable. The member indicated that the rate reasonability must also take into account the difficulty of the location. It was decided that the lowest rates will be placed before the board meeting to assess reasonability and to decide on the tenders.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Franklin Gothic Book, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Franklin Gothic Book, English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Franklin Gothic Book

Formatted: Font: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Title

Formatted: Title

Formatted: Title

Formatted: Font: (Default) Franklin Gothic Book, 13 pt

Formatted: Title

Formatted: Font: (Default) Franklin Gothic Book, 13 pt, Superscript

Formatted: Font: (Default) Franklin Gothic Book, 13 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Franklin Gothic Book, 13 pt, Bold, Underline, English (United States)